

Sandeep Kumar Maluja
S/o Sh. Om Parkash,
Street No. 02, Near Shani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, MALOUT,
Distt. – SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Block Development
and Panchayat Officer,
Malout, Distt. – SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The District Development
and Panchayat Officer,
SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5731 of 2021

Present : Sh. Sandeep Kumar Maluja, the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Jatinder Singh, Superintendent ;
ii) Sh. Racchpal Singh, A.P.O. O/o MGNREGA, Block Malout, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.
The RTI request is dated 16.07.2021. First appeal is dated 03.09.2021. Second

appeal has been received in the Commission on 15.12.2021.

On the last date of hearing, held on 16.05.2022 ;

- i) The appellant stated that no information was given to him by the respondent PIO.
- ii) As Neither the respondent PIO himself was present nor the requisite information was supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker, hence, show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to Public Information Officer concerned under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- iii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request, through registered post with a copy to the Commission.
- iv) An opportunity was given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information and is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed.

The appellant, Sh. Sandeep Kumar Maluja, who appeared in person in today's hearing in office premises, states that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO till date.

Sh. Jatinder Singh, Superintendent, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, the information demanded through RTI request is available on the official website, moreover, relates with office of A.P.O, MGNREGA, Block Malout.

Sh. Racchpal Singh, Assistant Programme Officer office of MGNREGA, Block Malout, who appeared in today's hearing, intimates that the information is ready to be provided.

Contd...2/-

Nevertheless, both the parties i. e. the appellant and the concerned respondent-PIO are directed to be present with the information (already/would be supplied in the instant appeal-case) during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case on the next date fixed in the office of State Information Commission, Punjab so that the same could be hand over to the appellant during the hearing or the case would be decided on merits.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant appeal case.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 14th September, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

CC: The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File



Sandeep Kumar Maluja
S/o Sh. Om Parkash,
Street No. 02, Near SHani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, MALOUT,
Distt. – SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer,
O/o The Block Development
and Panchayat Officer,
Malout, Distt. – SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The District Development
and Panchayat Officer,
SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5746 of 2021

Present : Sh. Sandeep Kumar Maluja, the appellant in person.
Sh. Jatinder Singh, Superintendent, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.
The RTI request is dated 23.06.2021. First appeal is dated 03.09.2021. Second

appeal has been received in the Commission on 15.12.2021.

On the last date of hearing, held on 16.05.2022 ;

- i) The appellant stated that no information was given to him by the respondent PIO.
- ii) As Neither the respondent PIO himself was present nor the requisite information was supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker, hence, show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to Public Information Officer concerned under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- iii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request, through registered post with a copy to the Commission.
- iv) An opportunity was given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information and is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed.

The appellant, Sh. Sandeep Kumar Maluja, who appeared in person in today's hearing in office premises, states that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO till date

Sh. Jatinder Singh, Superintendent, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant through registered post on 12.09.2022 and a reply has also been sent to the Commission vide letter no. 75 dated 12.09.2022, which has been received in the Commission through an e-mail. It is taken on record.

Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information, which was sent to him by the respondent PIO vide letter dated 12.09.2022 and also to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned by the next date of hearing.

Both the parties i. e. the appellant and the respondent PIO are directed to represent this case in person or through their representative alongwith the information (already/would be supplied in the instant appeal-case) during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case on the next date of hearing fixed in the office of State Information Commission, Punjab so that facts of this case could be cleared or the case would be decided on merits.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant appeal case.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 14th September, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

CC: The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Sandeep Kumar Maluja
S/o Sh. Om Parkash,
Street No. 02, Near SHani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, MALOUT,
Distt. – SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer,
O/o The Block Development
and Panchayat Officer,
Malout, Distt. – SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The District Development
and Panchayat Officer,
SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5745 of 2021

Present : Sh. Sandeep Kumar Maluja, the appellant in person.
i) Sh. Jatinder Singh, Superintendent ;
ii) Sh. Racchpal Singh, A.P.O. O/o MGNREGA, Block Malout, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.
The RTI request is dated 16.07.2021. First appeal is dated 03.09.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 15.12.2021.

On the last date of hearing, held on 16.05.2022 ;

- i) The appellant stated that no information was given to him by the respondent PIO.
- ii) As neither the respondent PIO himself was present nor the requisite information was supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker, hence, show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to Public Information Officer concerned under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- iii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request, through registered post with a copy to the Commission.
- iv) An opportunity was given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information and is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed.

The appellant, Sh. Sandeep Kumar Maluja, who appeared in person in today's hearing in office premises, states that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO till date.

Sh. Jatinder Singh, Superintendent, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, the information demanded through RTI request is available on the official website, moreover, relates with office of A.P.O, MGNREGA, Block Malout.

Contd...2/-

Sh. Racchpal Singh, Assistant Programme Officer office of MGNREGA, Block Malout, who appeared in today's hearing, intimates that the information is ready to be provided.

Nevertheless, both the parties i. e. the appellant and the concerned respondent-PIO are directed to be present with the information (already/would be supplied in the instant appeal-case) during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case on the next date fixed in the office of State Information Commission, Punjab so that the same could be hand over to the appellant during the hearing or the case would be decided on merits.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant appeal case.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 14th September, 2022

**(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

CC: The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File



Sandeep Kumar Maluja
S/o Sh. Om Parkash,
Street No. 02, Near Shani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, MALOUT,
Distt. – SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Block Development
and Panchayat Officer,
Malout, Distt. – SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The District Development
and Panchayat Officer,
SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5739 of 2021

Present : Sh. Sandeep Kumar Maluja, the appellant in person.
Sh. Jatinder Singh, Superintendent, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.
The RTI request is dated 12.04.2021. First appeal is dated 22.07.2021. Second

appeal has been received in the Commission on 15.12.2021.

On the last date of hearing, held on 16.05.2022 ;

- i) The appellant stated that no information was given to him by the respondent PIO.
- ii) As Neither the respondent PIO himself was present nor the requisite information was supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker, hence, show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to Public Information Officer concerned under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- iii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request, through registered post with a copy to the Commission.
- iv) An opportunity was given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information and is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed.

The appellant, Sh. Sandeep Kumar Maluja, who appeared in person in today's hearing in office premises

Sh. Jatinder Singh, Superintendent, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, the information demanded through RTI request relates with office of V.D.O., Villages i) Malout and ii) Asspal of Block Malout.

The appellant, Sh. Sandeep Kumar Maluja, who appeared in person in today's hearing in office premises, states that information at point no. 03 of the RTI request regarding inter-lock tiles is still pending.

Nevertheless, another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the remaining at point no. 03 of the RTI request to the appellant before the next date of hearing with a copy to the Commission.

Both the parties i. e. the appellant and the concerned respondent-PIO are directed to be present with the information (already/would be supplied in the instant appeal-case) during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case on the next date fixed in the office of State Information Commission, Punjab or the case would be decided on merits.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant appeal case.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 14th September, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

CC: The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Sandeep Kumar Maluja
S/o Sh. Om Parkash,
Street No. 02, Near SHani Dev Mandir,
Patel Nagar, MALOUT,
Distt. – SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer,
O/o The Block Development
and Panchayat Officer,
Malout, Distt. – SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The District Development
and Panchayat Officer,
SriMuktsar Sahib (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5740 of 2021

Present : Sh. Sandeep Kumar Maluja, the appellant in person.
Sh. Jatinder Singh, Superintendent, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.
The RTI request is dated 12.04.2021. First appeal is dated 22.07.2021. Second

appeal has been received in the Commission on 15.12.2021.

On the last date of hearing, held on 16.05.2022 ;

- i) The appellant stated that no information was given to him by the respondent PIO.
- ii) As Neither the respondent PIO himself was present nor the requisite information was supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker, hence, show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to Public Information Officer concerned under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- iii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request, through registered post with a copy to the Commission.
- iv) An opportunity was given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information and is also advised to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed.

Sh. Jatinder Singh, Superintendent, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that complete information has been given to the appellant in person during his (appellant's) visit to the office and a reply has been sent to the Commission vide letter no. 10 dated 22.08.2022 , appended to which is a note dated 17.08.2022, signed by the appellant as an acknowledgement of having received the requisite information of thirty pages. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Sandeep Kumar Maluja, who appeared in in today's hearing, shown his dis-satisfaction over the supplied information.

Nevertheless, both the parties i. e. the appellant and the respondent PIO are directed to represent this case in person or through their representative alongwith the information (already/would be supplied in the instant appeal-case) during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case on the next date of hearing fixed in the office of State Information Commission, Punjab so that facts of this case could be cleared or the case would be decided on merits.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant appeal case.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 14th September, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

CC: The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File



Sandeep Singh S/o Sh. Surinder Pal,
V. P. O.- Malli, Tehsil - Garhshankar (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Block Development
and Panchayat Officer,
Mahilpur, Distt. - Hoshiarpur (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The District Development
and Panchayat Officer, Hoshiarpur(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5750 of 2021

Present : Sh. Sandeep Singh , the appellant in person.
Sh. Jarnail Singh, Panchayat Secretary, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.
On the last date of hearing, held on 16.05.2022

- i) Sh. Dharampal, Block Development and Panchayat Officer and Sh. Jarnail Singh, Panchayat Secretary , stated that a point-wise reply was supplied to the appellant.
- ii) The appellant could not make himself audible to intimate the status of the instant appeal-case during the proceedings of the case and an opportunity was given to the appellant to confirm whether he received the information and also to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed.
- iii) Another opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the information, after removing the deficiencies, to him by registered post.

Sh. Jarnail Singh, panchayat Secretary, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that complete information has been given to the appellant through registered post vide letter no. 318 dated 07.02.2022 and a reply has been sent to the Commission vide letter no. 4051 dated 30.08.2022. It, alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Sandeep Singh , who appeared in today's hearing, shown his dis-satisfaction over the supplied information.

Nevertheless, both the parties i. e. the appellant and the respondent PIO are directed to represent this case in person or through their representative alongwith the information (already/would be supplied in the instant appeal-case) during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case on the next date of hearing fixed in the office of State Information Commission, Punjab so that facts of this case could be cleared or the case would be decided on merits.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant appeal case.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 14th September, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

CC: The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Ranjit Singh S/o Sh. Surjan Singh,
Village – Tong, P. O. – Rayyia,
Tehsil – Baba Bakala Sahib,
Distt. - Amritsar (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Block Development
and Panchayat Officer,
Rayyia, Tehsil – Baba Bakala Sahib,
Distt. - Amritsar (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Director,
Rural Development and Panchayats, Punjab,
Vikas Bhawan, Sector 62,
S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 5711 of 2021

Present : Sh. Ranjit Singh, the appellant in person.
Sh. Pardeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.
The RTI request is dated 19.08.2021. First appeal is dated 14.09.2021. Second
appeal has been received in the Commission on 14.12.2021.

On the last date of hearing, held on 16.05.2022 ;

- i) Sh. Pardeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, Block Rayyia, state that the requisite information was supplied to the appellant
- ii) The appellant stated that through a letter dated 07.05.2022, he pointed out deficiencies in the supplied information.
- iii) The appellant was advised to visit the office of the respondent PIO to inspect the relevant official record on 17.05.2022 at 11:00 A. M. to identify and collect the remaining information.
- iv) The respondent PIO concerned was directed to supply the remaining information to the appellant (which would be identified by him after inspection).

Sh. Pardeep Kumar, Panchayat Secretary, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that complete information has been given to the appellant .

The appellant, Sh. Ranjit Singh , who appeared in today's hearing, has shown his dis-satisfaction over the supplied information.

Nevertheless, both the parties i. e. the appellant and the respondent PIO are directed to represent this case in person or through their representative alongwith the information (already/would be supplied in the instant appeal-case) during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case on the next date of hearing fixed in the office of State Information Commission, Punjab so that facts of this case could be cleared or the case would be decided on merits.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant appeal case.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 14th September, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

CC: The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Prem Kumar Rattan,
H. No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi,
Dhuri, Distt. – Sangrur (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer,
O/o The Executive Officer,
Encl: RTI request Nagar Council, Bhadaur (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Additional Deputy Commissioner
(Urban Development),
Barnala (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 229 of 2022

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
None on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.
The RTI request is dated 03.09.2021. First appeal is dated 06.10.2021. Second

appeal has been received in the Commission on 30.12.2021.

On the last date of hearing, held on 11.05.2022 ;

- i) The appellant stated that no information was given to him by the respondent PIO.
- ii) Neither the respondent PIO nor any of his representative was present and the requisite information was not been supplied by the respondent PIO to the information seeker even after a period of six months and a show cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued to Public Information Officer concerned under the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- iii) Last opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request, through registered post with a copy to the Commission.
- iv) An opportunity was given to the appellant to confirm whether he received the information and also to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO so that the same could be removed.

The appellant, Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan is not present in today's hearing

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent PIO through a reply vide letter no. 1562 dated 01.06.2022 signed by Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Barnala, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 12447 dated 10.06.2022, has intimated the Commission that the information demanded through RTI request relates with office of by Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Bhadaur and orders dated 11.05.2022 through which a show-cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act was issued in the name of Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Barnala. It is taken on record.

After examining the case-file, it is found that orders dated 11.05.2022 in this case has been sent in the name of Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Barnala with a show-cause notice under Section 20 (1) of the RTI Act, hence, hence, the orders dated 11.05.2022, through which show-cause notice issued in the name of Executive Officer, Nagar Council, Barnala is withdrawn.

As the information demanded by the appellant through RTI request, relates with office of Executive Officer , Nagar Council , Bhadaur, hence, a copy of this order be sent to him alongwith RTI request through registered post to PIO office of Executive Officer , Nagar Council , Bhadaur with the directions to supply the certified copies of the required information to the appellant as per queries raised by him through RTI request with a copy to the Commission, by the next date of hearing.

A copy of this order be sent to him alongwith RTI request through registered post to PIO office of Executive Officer , Nagar Council , Barnala for intimation.

Another opportunity is also given to both the parties to represent this case in person or through their representative on the next date fixed.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant appeal case.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

(Sanjiv Garg)

**State Information Commissioner
Punjab**

Date : 14th September, 2022

Encl: RTI request

**(Regd. Post) Public Information Officer,
O/o The Executive Officer,
Nagar Council,
Barnala(Punjab)**

CC: The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File



Prem Kumar Rattan,
H. No. 78/8, Park Road, Navi Mandi,
Dhuri, Distt. – Sangrur (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Additional Deputy Commissioner
(Urban Development), Sangrur (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Additional Deputy Commissioner
(Urban Development), Sangrur (Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 236 of 2022

Present : None on behalf of the appellant.
None on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.
The RTI request is dated 10.09.2021. First appeal is dated 16.10.2021. Second
appeal has been received in the Commission on 30.12.2021.

On the last date of hearing, held on 11.05.2022 ;

- i) The PIO through a reply vide letter no. 422 dated 28.09.2021 signed by Additional Deputy Commissioner (Urban Development), Sangrur, sent a point-wise reply to the appellant .
- ii) The appellant, stated that incomplete information was given to him .
- iii) The appellant was advised to visit the office of the respondent PIO to inspect the relevant official record on 15.06.2022 at 12:00 noon to identify the information.
- iv) The respondent PIO concerned was directed to supply the required information to the appellant (which would be identified by him after inspection) with a copy to the Commission.
- v) An opportunity was also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information, which would be supplied to him by the respondent PIO after inspection.

Neither the appellant, Sh. Prem Kumar Rattan nor the respondent is present in today's hearing. They have also not sent any intimation regarding their non-availability.

The respondent PIO has not sent any forwarding letter (if the information has been sent to the appellant), moreover, the appellant has not sent any intimation whether he received the information or not.

Nevertheless, last and final opportunity is given to both the parties to represent this case in person or through their representative on the next date fixed to intimate the status of the instant appeal-case or the case would be decided on merits.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant appeal case. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

(Sanjiv Garg)

State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date : 14th September, 2022

CC: The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Ms. Manjula
H. No. 1086,
Sector 26,
Panchkula

.... Complainant

V/s

i) Public Information Officer,
O/o The Principal Secretary
to Government. of Punjab,
Deptt. of Local Government,
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35 - A,
Plot No. 03, Chandigarh

ii) Public Information Officer,
(Regd. Post) O/o The Superintendent,
(General Branch)
O/o The Director,
Deptt. of Local Government,
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35 - A,
Plot No. 03, Chandigarh

(Encl:RTI request)

...Respondents

Complaint Case No. 1279 of 2021

Present : Ms. Manjula , the complainant in person.
Sh. Rajeev Sagggar, Superintendent (Vigilance Cell) , on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.

The RTI request is dated 04.10.2021. The complaint has been received in the Commission on 15.11.2021.

The complainant, Ms. Manjula ,who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that no information has been given to him by the respondent PIO till date.

Sh. Rajeev Sagggar, Superintendent (Vigilance Cell), who appeared in today's hearing, states that the appellant has demanded action taken report on a complaint dated 20.08.2021, which is not found traceable in the official-record and several times requests were put forward to the appellant to provide the copy of complaint dated 20.08.2021(regarding which the information is demanded) but no response was given by the appellant. Further , efforts were made to trace the complaint dated 20.08.2021 in the office of the Directorate and present status of the complaint i. e. complaint dated 20.08.2021 lying in the General Branch , accordingly, a letter was forwarded to the Superintendent-cum-PIO of General Branch vide letter no. 14061 dated 12.09.2022 to supply the required information to the appellant and sent the same to the Commission. It is taken on record.

As the information demanded by the appellant through RTI request, relates with General Branch, hence, Public Information Officer office of General Branch be impleaded as necessary party in this case. A copy of this order be sent to him alongwith RTI request through registered post with the directions to file a point-wise reply to the queries raised by the appellant through RTI request with a copy to the Commission with the directions to represent this case in person on the next date of hearing fixed to clear the facts of this case.

Contd...2/-

Sh. Rajeev Saggar, Superintendent (Vigilance Cell) has requested to exempt him from personal appearance in further hearing of this case.

The request is granted and he is exempted from personal appearance in further hearings of this case and a copy of this order be sent to him for intimation.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant complaint case.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 14th September, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Encl:RTI request

i) The Chief Vigilance Officer,
O/o The Director,
Deptt. of Local Government, Punjab,
(Vigilance Cell),
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35 - A,
Plot No. 03, Chandigarh

ii) The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File



Jagsir SinghS/o Sh. Gajjan Singh,
Ward No. 07, Moonak,
Distt.- Sangrur - 148033 (Punjab)

.... Complainant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Executive Officer,
Nagar Panchayat,
Moonak, District - Sangrur (Punjab)

...Respondents

Complaint Case No. 417 of 2021

Present : Sh. Jagsir Singh, the complainant in person.
Sh. Purshotam Lal, Clerk, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard through facility of Software/application 'CISCO Webex Meetings'.
The RTI request is dated 18.01.2021. The complaint has been received in the
Commission on 08.04.2021.

Sh. Purshotam Lal, Clerk, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in
today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant
vide letter no. 319 dated 20.04.2022. On that reply, the complainant has put his signatures as an
acknowledgement of having received the requisite information. It is taken on record.

After examining the documents placed on record, it is found that the respondent
PIO through a reply vide letter no. 192 dated 04.03.2022 signed by Executive Officer, Nagar
Panchayat, Moonak, which has been received in the Commission vide Diary No. 5037 dated
10.03.2022, has intimated the Commission that the penalty amount of Rs. 10,000/- which was
imposed upon the concerned PIO vide orders dated 13.12.2021 has been deposited and a copy of
challan-receipt has been sent to the Commission

The appellant, Sh. Jagsir Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states
that he has gone through the information given to him by the respondent PIO and not found it
satisfactory.

Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if
any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the
same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

Both the parties i. e. the appellant and the concerned Executive Officer-cum-PIO
are directed to be present with the information (already/would be supplied in the instant appeal-
case) during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case on the next date fixed in the office of
State Information Commission, Punjab so that facts of this case could be cleared or the case
would be decided on merits.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time
to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of
hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file
before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant appeal case.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date : 14th September, 2022

(Sanjiv Garg)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

CC: The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File

Jagsir Singh S/o Sh. Gajjan Singh,
Ward No. 07, Moonak,
Distt.- Sangrur - 148033 (Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Executive Officer,
Nagar Panchayat,
Moonak, District - Sangrur (Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Additional Deputy Commissioner
(Urban Development),
Patiala(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 2664 of 2021

Present : Sh. Jagsir Singh, the appellant in person.
Sh. Purshotam Lal, Clerk, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 17.11.2020. First appeal is dated 20.03.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 08.06.2021.

Sh. Purshotam Lal, Clerk, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that the requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant time and again and a reply has also been sent to the Commission vide letter no. 518 dated 17.06.2022 signed by Executive Officer, Nagar Panchayat, Moonak . It alongwith annexure, is taken on record.

The appellant, Sh. Jagsir Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that he has gone through the information given to him by the respondent PIO and not found it satisfactory.

Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned and also send a copy of the same to the Commission.

Both the parties i. e. the appellant and the concerned Executive Officer-cum-PIO are directed to be present with the information (already/would be supplied in the instant appeal-case) during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case on the next date fixed in the office of State Information Commission, Punjab so that facts of this case could be cleared or the case would be decided on merits.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant appeal case.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

(Sanjiv Garg)

State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date : 14th September, 2022

CC: The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File



Bhinder Singh S/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh,
Village – Roopa, P. O. – Samrala,
Teh. & Distt. - Ludhiana - 141412(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Block Development
and Panchayat Officer,
Samrala, Distt. – Ludhiana(Punjab)

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The District Development
and Panchayat Officer, Ludhiana(Punjab)

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 756 of 2022

Present : Sh. Bhinder Singh, the appellant in person.
Sh. Sukhpal Singh, Panchayat Secretary, on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 19.05.2021. First appeal is dated 02.11.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 07.02.2022.

On the last date of hearing, held on 22.06.2022 ;

- i) The appellant was not present.
- ii) Sh. Sukhpal Singh, Panchayat Secretary, stated that as per official-record, the requisite information of ten pages was supplied to the appellant on 28.12.2021 by Sh. Shamsher Singh (the then Panchayat Secretary).
- iii) An opportunity was given to the respondent PIO concerned to bring a copy of the information (which was already supplied to the appellant) with the relevant official record during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case in the office of State Information Commission, Punjab before the bench of the undersigned so that facts of this case could be cleared.

Sh. Sukhpal Singh, Panchayat Secretary, who appeared on behalf of the respondent PIO in today's hearing, states that as per official-record, the requisite information of ten pages was supplied to the appellant on 28.12.2021 by Sh. Shamsher Singh (the then Panchayat Secretary). It, alongwith a copy of supplied information, is placed in the case-file.

The appellant, Sh. Bhinder Singh, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that he has gone through the information given to him by the respondent PIO and not found it satisfactory, moreover, whatever information given to him is not attested.

Nevertheless, an opportunity is given to the appellant to point out deficiencies, if any, in the information supplied to him, in writing, to the respondent PIO well in time so that the same could be removed by the respondent concerned.

Another opportunity is given to the respondent PIO concerned to supply the information, after removing the deficiencies, which would be pointed out by the appellant, to him and also to certify the documents already given, before the next date of hearing.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant appeal case. Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

(Sanjiv Garg)

State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date : 14th September, 2022

The Deputy Registrar

CC:

Encl : Case-File



Sanjeev Devgan S/o Sh. Krishan Kumar,
Assistant Town Planner,
Municipal Corporation,
Amritsar- 143001(Punjab)

....Appellant

V/s

Public Information Officer,
O/o The Director,
Deptt. of Local Government, Punjab,
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35 - A,
Plot No. 03, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority,
O/o The Director,
Deptt. of Local Government, Punjab,
Municipal Bhawan, Sector 35 - A,
Plot No. 03, Chandigarh

...Respondents

Appeal Case No. 4268 of 2021

Present : Sh. Sanjeev Devgan , the appellant in person.
Sh. Sukhdev Singh, Superintendent (LG-1 Branch), on behalf of the respondent.

ORDER

Heard in the Commission.

The RTI request is dated 28.08.2021. First appeal is dated 09.09.2021. Second appeal has been received in the Commission on 24.09.2021.

Sh. Sukhdev Singh, Superintendent (LG-1 Branch), who appeared in today's hearing, states that the information at point no. 01 of the RTI request has already been supplied to the appellant and in connection with point no. 02 and 03 of the RTI request, a reply dated 02.03.2022 in an affidavit has already been given to the appellant.

The appellant, Sh. Sanjeev Devgun, who appeared in person in today's hearing, states that the information given to him is un-attested.

Nevertheless, the appellant is advised to visit the office of the respondent PIO today itself i. e. 14.09.2022 at 02:00 P. M. to get the certified copies of the information.

The respondent PIO concerned is directed to facilitate the appellant during his visit to the office and supply the required information to the appellant under intimation to the Commission

An opportunity is also given to the appellant to confirm whether he has received the information or not.

The date and time of visit of the appellant in the office of the respondent has been announced to the parties during the proceedings of the instant appeal-case.

As I am demitting the office on 23rd September, 2022 and I have left with little time to decide the issues, which have been cropped in the instant appeal case, hence, next date of hearing in this case can not be fixed before the Bench of the under-signed.

Accordingly, this case-file be sent to the Deputy Registrar to place this case file before the Hon'ble Chief Information Commissioner for re-allocation of the instant appeal case.

Copies of the orders be sent to the parties.

(Sanjiv Garg)

State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date : 14th September, 2022

CC:

The Deputy Registrar

Encl : Case-File